

# Relative regular modules. Applications to von Neumann regular rings

L. Dăuş

Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Civil Engineering,

Bdul. Lacul Tei 124, RO-020396 Bucharest 2, Romania

e-mail: daus@utcb.ro

## Abstract

We use the concept of a regular object with respect to another object in an arbitrary category, defined in [3], in order to obtain the transfer of regularity in the sense of Zelmanowitz between the categories  $R\text{-mod}$  and  $S\text{-mod}$ , when  $S$  is an excellent extension of the ring  $R$ . Consequently, we obtain a result of [5]: if  $S$  is an excellent extension of the ring  $R$ , then  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring if and only if  $R$  is also von Neumann regular ring. In the second part, using relative regular modules, we give a new proof of a classical result: the von Neumann regular property of a ring is Morita invariant.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A ring  $R$  is called von Neumann regular if for any  $r \in R$  there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $r = rsr$ . Zelmanowitz generalizes this concept to modules in [7]: a left module  $M$  over the ring  $R$  is called regular if for each  $m \in M$  there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  such that  $g(m)m = m$ . Since a morphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$  is uniquely given by an element  $m \in M$ , one can reformulate the regular module defined by Zelmanowitz as follows: for any  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$  there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  such that  $f = f \circ g \circ f$ .

In paper [3] it was defined the concept of a regular object with respect to another object in an arbitrary category, which extends the notion of regular module.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $U$  be objects of a category  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that  $M$  is  $U$ -regular object if for any morphism  $f : U \rightarrow M$  there exists a morphism  $g : M \rightarrow U$  such that  $f = f \circ g \circ f$ .*

Obviously, if  $R$  is a ring and  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is a regular module if and only if  $M$  is  $R$ -regular in the category  $R\text{-mod}$ .

The following result provides a key characterization of regular objects in abelian categories.

**Proposition 1.2.** (*[3, Proposition 3.1]*) *Let  $M$  and  $U$  be objects of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $M$  is  $U$ -regular if and only if  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is a direct summand of  $U$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a direct summand of  $M$  for any morphism  $f : U \rightarrow M$ .*

## 2 Excellent extensions

Let  $S$  be a ring and let  $R$  be a subring of  $S$  such that  $R$  and  $S$  have the same identity 1. We say that the ring  $S$  is a *free normalizing extension* of  $R$  if there exists a finite set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq S$  such that  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i R$ ,  $a_i R = R a_i$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $S$  is free with basis  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  as both a left and right  $R$ -module. Further, a free normalizing extension  $R \subseteq S$  is called an *excellent extension* if  $S$  is left  $R$ -projective (i.e. if  ${}_S N$  is a submodule of  ${}_S M$  such that  ${}_R N$  is a direct summand of  ${}_R M$ , then  ${}_S N$  is a direct summand of  ${}_S M$ ).

The concept of excellent extension was introduced by Passman [6] and named by Bonami [2]. The class of excellent extensions includes  $n \times n$  matrix rings and crossed products  $R * G$  where  $G$  is a finite group with  $|G|^{-1} \in R$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $M$  is  $U$ -regular in a category  $\mathcal{A}$ . The following assertions hold true:*

- (1) *If  $U \simeq U'$ , then  $M$  is  $U'$ -regular.*
- (2) *If  $M \simeq M'$ , then  $M'$  is  $U$ -regular.*

The first main result of this paper is:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be an excellent extension of a ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be a left  $S$ -module. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *If  ${}_R M$  is regular, then  ${}_S M$  is regular;*
- (2) *If  ${}_S M$  is regular and torsion-free module, then  ${}_R M$  is regular.*

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  ${}_R M$  is regular, hence  $M$  is  $R$ -regular in the category  $R\text{-mod}$ . Since  $R a_i \simeq R$  as left  $R$ -modules, by previous lemma we obtain that  $M$  is  $R a_i$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . According to [3, Corollary 3.9], it follows that  $M$  is  $\oplus_{i=1}^n R a_i$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ , that is  $S$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ .

Now we show, using Proposition 1.2, that  $M$  is  $S$ -regular in  $S\text{-mod}$ . Consider  $f : S \rightarrow M$  an arbitrary morphism in  $S\text{-mod}$ . Then  $f$  is a morphism in  $R\text{-mod}$ . Since  $M$  is  $S$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ , then  ${}_R \text{Ker}(f)$  is a direct summand of  ${}_R S$  and  ${}_R \text{Im}(f)$  is a direct summand of  ${}_R M$ . But  $S$  is left  $R$ -projective. It follows that  ${}_S \text{Ker}(f)$  is a direct summand of  ${}_S S$  and  ${}_S \text{Im}(f)$  is a direct summand of  ${}_S M$ , hence

${}_S M$  is regular.

(2) We will use the following result obtained by Zelmanowitz: a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is regular if and only if every cyclic submodule of  $M$  is projective and a direct summand of  $M$  (see [7, Theorem 2.2]).

Let  $m$  be an arbitrary element of  $M$ . Consider the cyclic submodule  $Sm$  of  $M$ . Since  ${}_S M$  is a regular module, then  ${}_S Sm$  is projective and therefore, by the restriction of scalars,  ${}_R Sm$  is projective. On the other hand, since  ${}_S M$  is a torsion-free module, by  $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra_i$ , it follows

$$Sm = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra_i m = Rm \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=2}^n Ra_i m). \quad (1)$$

Hence  $Rm$  is a direct summand of  $Sm$  in the category  $R\text{-mod}$ , showing that  ${}_R Rm$  is a projective module. On the assumption that  ${}_S M$  is regular, we also obtain that  $Sm$  is a direct summand of  $M$  in the category  $S\text{-mod}$ , hence in the category  $R\text{-mod}$ . Since  $Rm$  is a direct summand of  $Sm$  in  $R\text{-mod}$ , it follows that  $Rm$  is a direct summand of  $M$  in the category  $R\text{-mod}$ . Hence  ${}_R M$  is regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an excellent extension of a ring  $R$ . Then  $R$  is von Neumann regular ring if and only if  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is von Neumann regular ring, namely  ${}_R R$  is a regular module. Since  $Ra_i \simeq R$  as left  $R$ -modules, it follows that  ${}_R Ra_i$  is a regular module, for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By [7, Theorem 2.8], we obtain that  $S = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra_i$  is regular as left  $R$ -module, so, using Theorem 2.2,  $S$  is regular as left  $S$ -module. Hence  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring.

Conversely, suppose that  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring. Let  $r$  be an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Then there exists an element  $s \in S$  such that  $r = rsr$ . Since  $S$  is a free extension of the ring  $R$  with basis  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ , then there exist unique elements  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in R$  such that  $s = s_1 + s_2 a_2 + \dots + s_n a_n$ . Therefore  $r = rs_1 r$ , showing that  $R$  is von Neumann regular ring.  $\square$

### 3 Morita equivalence. Morita context

In the following, using again relative regular modules, we give a new proof of a classical result: the von Neumann regular property of a ring is Morita invariant.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Morita equivalent rings via the equivalence  $F : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow S\text{-mod}$  and  $M, U$  be two left  $R$ -modules. Then  $M$  is  $U$ -regular if and only if  $F(M)$  is  $F(U)$ -regular.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are two categories, it is well-known that a covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an equivalence if and only if it is both faithful and full, and each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic with some  $F(A)$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Using this result, our lemma is

a consequence of [3, Proposition 2.4].

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be Morita equivalent rings via inverse equivalences  $F : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow S\text{-mod}$  and  $G : S\text{-mod} \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ . Then  $R$  is von Neumann regular ring if and only if  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $S$  is von Neumann regular ring, hence  $S$  is  $S$ -regular in the category  $S\text{-mod}$ . If we set  $Q = G(S)$ , by [1, Theorem 22.1]  ${}_R Q$  is a progenerator and  $F(Q) \simeq S$ . Thus  $F(Q)$  is  $F(Q)$ -regular in  $S\text{-mod}$ . Using Lemma 3.1, it follows that  $Q$  is  $Q$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ . Since  ${}_R Q$  is a generator, by [1, Proposition 17.6] there are a left  $R$ -module  $Q'$  and an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$Q^{(n)} \simeq R \oplus Q' \quad (2)$$

According to [3, Theorem 3.8] and [3, Corollary 3.9],  $Q^{(n)}$  is  $Q^{(n)}$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ . Using now [3, Proposition 2.3], by (2) it follows that  $R$  is  $R$ -regular in  $R\text{-mod}$ , hence  $R$  is a von Neumann regular ring.

The converse is similar.

□

A Morita context, denoted by  $(R, S, M, N, \phi, \psi)$  consists of two rings  $R, S$ , two bimodules  ${}_R M_S, {}_S N_R$  and two bimodules morphisms  $\phi : M \otimes_S N \rightarrow R$  and  $\psi : N \otimes_R M \rightarrow S$  such that:

$$\phi(m \otimes n)m' = m\psi(n \otimes m') \quad (3)$$

$$\psi(n \otimes m)n' = n\phi(m \otimes n') \quad (4)$$

for any  $m, m' \in M$  and  $n, n' \in N$ . These conditions ensure that the set  $T$  of generalized matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ n & s \end{pmatrix}, r \in R, s \in S, m \in M, n \in N$$

with ordinary matrix addition and multiplication induced by  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , forms a ring, called the ring of the Morita context.

A Morita context is strict if both  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are epimorphisms. A fundamental theorem of Morita says that the categories of modules over two rings with identity  $R$  and  $S$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a strict Morita context connecting  $R$  and  $S$ .

In order to investigate von Neumann regular rings for Morita contexts we need the following lemma, due to Goodearl. Here we indicate a short proof, using relative regular modules.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be orthogonal idempotents in a ring  $R$  such that  $e_1 + \dots + e_n = 1$ . Then  $R$  is regular if and only if for each  $x \in e_i R e_j$  there exists  $y \in e_j R e_i$  such that  $x = xyx$ .*

*Proof.* Using the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(Re_i, Re_j) \simeq e_i Re_j$  and the hypothesis, it follows that  $Re_j$  is a  $Re_i$ -regular object in  $R\text{-mod}$ , for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . By [3, Corollary 3.9] we obtain that  $Re_j$  is  $Re_1 \oplus Re_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Re_n$ -regular, so  $Re_j$  is  $R$ -regular, for any  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Now, using [3, Theorem 3.8] we get that  $R$  is  $R$ -regular, as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $T$  be the ring of a Morita context  $(R, S, M, N, \phi, \psi)$*

*(1) If  $T$  is a von Neumann regular ring, then  $R, S$  are also von Neumann regular rings and  $M, N$  are regular as left and right modules;*

*(2) If the Morita context  $(R, S, M, N, \phi, \psi)$  is strict,  $R, S$  are von Neumann regular rings and  $M, N$  are regular as left modules, then  $T$  is a von Neumann regular ring.*

*Proof.* (1) Denote  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $R \simeq eTe$  and  $S \simeq (1-e)T(1-e)$ , showing that  $R$  and  $S$  are von Neumann regular rings.

Let  $m$  be an arbitrary element in  $M$  and consider the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$ .

Then there exists a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} r' & m' \\ n' & s' \end{pmatrix} \in T$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r' & m' \\ n' & s' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi(m \otimes n')m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore  $m = \phi(m \otimes n')m$ . Define  $g : M \rightarrow R$  such that  $g(\mu) = \phi(\mu \otimes n')$ . Obviously,  $g$  is a morphism of left  $R$ -modules and  $g(m)m = m$ . Hence  $R$  is regular as left  $R$ -module. On the other hand, since  $m = \phi(m \otimes n')m$ , by relation (3) it follows that  $m = m\psi(n' \otimes m)$  and thus we obtain that  $M$  is regular as right  $S$ -module.

Similarly, we can prove that  $N$  is regular as left and right module.

(2) We show that  $T$  is von Neumann regular ring using previous lemma and the complete set of orthogonal idempotents  $\{e, 1-e\}$ , where  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $x \in eT(1-e)$ . Then  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $m \in M$ . Since  $M$  is regular left  $R$ -module there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  such that  $g(m)m = m$ . But the morphism  $\phi : M \otimes_S N \rightarrow R$  is epic, so there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $\phi(m \otimes n) = g(m)$ . Consider  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . One easily checks that  $y \in (1-e)Te$  and moreover  $x = xyx$ .

Similarly, if  $x \in (1-e)Te$  we can find a matrix  $y \in eT(1-e)$  such that  $x = xyx$ .

These facts, together with von Neumann regularity of the rings  $R$  and  $S$  provide that  $T$  is von Neumann regular ring.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Constantin Năstăsescu for his important remarks and useful discussions.

## References

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974
- [2] L. Bonami, On the structure of skew group rings, Algebra Berichte 48, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, Munchen, 1984
- [3] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, A. Tudorache and L. Dăuș, Relative regular object in categories, Applied Categorical Structures 14 (5-6), 2006, 567-577
- [4] K. Goodearl, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London 1979
- [5] M.M. Parmenter and P.N. Stewart, Excellent extensions, Comm. Algebra, 16(4), 1988, 703-713
- [6] D.S. Passman, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1977
- [7] J. Zelmanowitz, Regular modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163, 1972, 341-355